Cas	e 8:11-cr-0	00245-JLS Document 12 Filed 09/30/11 Page 1 of 4 Page ID #:25			
1					
2					
3		FILED - SOUTHERN DIVISION CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT			
4					
5		SEP 3 0 2011			
6		CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA DEPUTY			
7					
8		UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	·	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10					
	UNITED	STATES OF AMERICA, Case No.: SACR 11-245 JST			
12		Plaintiff,) ORDER OF DETENTION			
13 14	vs.	}			
15	MICHAE	MICHAEL D. MATSON, Defendant.			
16					
17		I.			
18	A. ()	On motion of the Government in a case allegedly involving:			
19	1.	() a crime of violence.			
20	2.	() an offense with maximum sentence of life imprisonment or death.			
21	3.	() a narcotics or controlled substance offense with maximum sentence			
22		of ten or more years.			
23	4.	() any felony - where defendant convicted of two or more prior			
24		offenses described above.			
25	5.	() any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence that involves a			
26		minor victim, or possession or use of a firearm or destructive device			
27		or any other dangerous weapon, or a failure to register under 18			
28		U.S.C. § 2250.			

1	B.	(X)	On motion by the Government/() on Court's own motion, in a case
2			allegedly involving:
3		(X)	On the further allegation by the Government of:
4			1. (X) a serious risk that the defendant will flee.
5			2. () a serious risk that the defendant will:
6			a. () obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice.
7			b. () threaten, injure or intimidate a prospective witness or
8			juror, or attempt to do so.
9	C.	The C	Sovernment () is/(X) is not entitled to a rebuttable presumption that no
10		condi	tion or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the defendant's
11		appea	rance as required and the safety or any person or the community.
12			
13			II.
14	A.	(X)	The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions will
15			reasonably assure:
16		1.	(X) the appearance of the defendant as required.
17			(X) and/or
18		2.	(X) the safety of any person or the community.
9	В.	()	The Court finds that the defendant has not rebutted by sufficient evidence
20			to the contrary the presumption provided by statute.
21			
22			III.
23		The C	Court has considered:
24	A.	(X)	the nature and circumstances of the offense(s) charged, including whether
25			the offense is a crime of violence, a Federal crime of terrorism, or involves
26			a minor victim or a controlled substance, firearm, explosive, or destructive
27			device;
28	В.	(X)	the weight of evidence against the defendant;
			Page 2 of 4

	1			
1	C.	(X)	the history and characteristics of the defendant; and	
2	D.	(X)	the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community.	
3				
4			IV.	
5	The Court also has considered all the evidence adduced at the hearing and the			
6	6 arguments and/or statements of counsel, and the Pretrial Services			
7	Report/recommendation.			
8				
9			V.	
10		The	Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following:	
11	A.	(X)	As to flight risk: Defendant's refusal to be interviewed by Pretrial	
12			Services, lack of background information, history of failing to appear in	
13			state court matters, the nature of the charged offense, and the manner in	
14			which he conducted himself during his initial appearance, which showed	
15			Defendant would take advantage of every opportunity to disrupt and delay	
.16			the proceedings against him.	
17	В.	(X)	As to danger: The nature of the charged offense and Defendant's defiant	
18			disposition towards the judicial system.	
19				
20			VI.	
21	A.	()	The Court finds that a serious risk exists the defendant will:	
22			1. () obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice.	
23			2. () attempt to/() threaten, injure or intimidate a witness or juros	
24	B.	The	Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following:	
25				
26				
27				
28				

Case 8:11-cr-00245-JLS Document 12 Filed 09/30/11 Page 3 of 4 Page ID #:27

VI. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the defendant be detained prior to trial. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant be committed to the custody of B. the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, on order of a Court of the United States or on D. request of any attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding. Dated: September 30, 2011